UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/799,565	03/11/2004	David M. Roggeman	P00091US1B	7785	
Chief IP Counse	7590 03/17/200 el	EXAMINER			
Bridgestone An	nericas Holding, Inc.	MERKLING, MATTHEW J			
1200 Firestone Akron, OH 443			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ŕ			1795		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/17/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/799,565	ROGGEMAN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
MATTHEW J. MERKLING	1795	

		With the vv or Mertitelive	1700
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE	REPLY FILED <u>04 March 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.
1. 🛚	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a)	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.	
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection.
have under set fo may r	MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exi 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the strin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later educe any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). ICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t	filed within two months of the date of
	filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w NDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
3. 🛚	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further column the region the issue of new matter (see NOTE halo	nsideration and/or search (see NOT	
	 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or 	•	ducing or simplifying the issues for
((d) They present additional claims without canceling a continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.
4. 🗌	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. 🔲	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		
6. <u> </u>	non-allowable claim(s).	·	•
7. 🔀	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>NONE</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>NONE</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>17-42</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>NONE</u> .		I be entered and an explanation of
<u>AFFI</u>	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
8. 🗌	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).		
9. 🗌	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails to provide a
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation UEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attached.
	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.		condition for allowance because:
	Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
	exa D. Neckel/ ervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The Applicant's amendments which change the dependency of claims 36 and 40 to depend from a separate independent claim constitutes a new invention that requires further consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: On page 6, paragraph 3 of Applicant's remarks (filed 3/4/08), Applicant argues that the limitation (of claims 17 and 31) "a hydrocarbon monomer having a water content and undissolved water entrained with the hydrocarbon" is relevant to patentability. The examiner respectfully disagrees. The water that is referred to, is indeed an article in which the apparatus works upon. Furthermore, Applicant argues in paragrpah 3 that "the water in the disengagement zone is not the article worked upon, nor is it a limitation directed to the process that occurs in the claimed apparatus". The examiner points out that the "disengagement zone" is in fact directed towards the process of "disengaging" entrained water, and therefore, constitutes a process that occurs in the claimed apparatus.

On page 8, paragraph 3, applicant argues that Okada does not teach "a second vessel coupled to the inlet". The examiner respectfully disagrees with this argument. As can clearly be seen in Drawing 1 of Okada, the inlet to vessel 4 is coupled to a separate vessel 6.

2